## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

JAMES C. LYDON
Attorney At Law
100 Daingerfield Road
Suite 100
703Alexandria, VA 22314

>>> IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CALL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE . . .

FACSIMILE OPERATOR TEL. NO.: (703) 838-0445 DIRECT LINE TO FACSIMILE: (703) 838-0447

TO: Examiner Stephanie K, Mummert

Group Art Unit: 1637

FIRM: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

FACSIMILE NO.: 1-571-273-8503

FROM: James C. Lydon

RE: Proposed Request for Reconsideration

U.S. Patent Appln. S.N. 10/579,137

By: Jussi NURMI et al. Attv. Case No.: TUR-181

TOTAL PAGES: 7 including cover sheet.

**DATE:** August 18, 2009

Dear Examiner Mummert,

The enclosed Request for Reconsideration has been approved by the client, and is being sent to you in preparation for the interview scheduled for Monday, <u>August</u> 24, 2009 at 11 MM. I look forward to meeting you.

Regards.

James C. Lydon

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown above.

James C. Lyden

THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUATION ENTITY NAMED ABOVE IOR THOSE PROPERLY ENTITLED ACCESS TO THE INFORMATION, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS TRANSMISSION IS NOT THE INTENDED OR AN AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY UNAUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTION, O SSEMINATION, OR COPY OF THIS TRANSMISSION IS PORHIBITED.

IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY COLLECT TELEPHONE CALL OR BY FACSIMILE. THANK YOU.

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of:

Jussi NURMI et al.

Serial Number: 10/579.137

Group Art Unit: 1637

Filed: May 15, 2006

Examiner: Mummert, Stephanie K.

For: NUCLEIC ACID AMPLIFICATION ASSAY AND ARRANGEMENT THEREFOR

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22314

PROPOSED

Sir:

In response to the Official Action mailed May 12, 2009, a Petition for a one month Extension of Time being submitted herewith, please reconsider this application in view of the following remarks. Claims 18-30 are pending.

The 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims 18-30 over U.S. Patent No. 6,568,286 to <u>Cabuz</u> in view of <u>Iqbal et al.</u>, 15 <u>Biosensors & Bioelectronics</u> 549 (2000) is traversed. The claimed assay includes retaining biological particles containing an analyte of interest on a filter, followed by removing the biological particles from the filter by a flush flow in a second direction opposite said first direction, and then analyzing biological

U.S. Patent Appln. S.N. 10/579,137 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

PATENT

particles contained in the flush flow by means of a nucleic acid amplification assav.

The cited combination of references fails to raise a <u>prima</u>

<u>facie</u> case of obviousness because the combined disclosures of these references fails to disclose or suggest the claimed assay.

- <u>Cabuz</u> fails to disclose or suggest retaining biological particles containing an analyte(s) of interest on a filter
- Col. 9, lines 4-67, cited by the Patent Office, do not disclose or suggest retaining biological particles containing the analyte(s) of interest on a filter. Instead, Col. 9, lines 4-22 of Cabuz discloses two uni-directional modes of operation in which a fluid to be sampled is pumped through a mesopump sensor. In the first unidirectional embodiment, a fluid to be sampled is pumped past the only sensor without any filtration. In the second unidirectional embodiment, the fluid to be sampled is pumped past two filters before passing through the sensor. In neither unidirectional embodiment is a biological particle containing an analyte of interest retained on a filter.
- Col. 9, lines 23-44 of <u>Caduz</u> next discuss modes of handling the clogging of filters by <u>contaminant particles</u>, not analytes of interest. See Col. 9, lines 18-22 and lines 29-36.

U.S. Patent Appln. S.N. 10/579,137 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION PATENT

Col. 9, lines 45-67 of <u>Cabuz</u> discuss <u>sensor</u> saturation in which an analyte or other material is adsorbed onto <u>sensor</u> 303.

Two methods are suggested to overcome this problem. First, a purifying reverse flow is suggested until the analyte is desorbed from <u>sensor</u> 303. However, there is no mention of desorbing an analyte from <u>filters</u> 302 or 306.

The second method suggests bi-directional "shallow breathing" in which the analyte is drawn past the sensor, but is then expelled by reversing its direction. The goal is to draw the sample past the sensor but not into the mesopump, in order to reduce fouling of the pump (Col. 9, lines 65-67). There is no disclosure or suggestion of retaining an analyte on a filter.

The Patent Office also cites Col. 10, line 65 to Col. 11, line 10 and Fig. 8 as showing the retention of an analyte on a filter. However, these portions of <u>Cabuz</u> also fail to disclose this step of the claimed assay. Instead, <u>Cabuz</u> expressly teaches bringing the sample fluid past sensor 354 before passing through impact filter 360 and then into pump chamber 364, before the fluid direction is reversed, and its flows back through impact filter 360 and then sensor 354. There is no disclosure (or suggestion) of retaining particles <u>containing an analyte</u> on filter 364.

U.S. Patent Appln. S.N. 10/579,137 REGUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION PATENT

In short, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated by, or find any apparent reason from, <u>Cabuz</u> to retain biological particles containing an analyte of interest on a filter.

 <u>Cabuz</u> fails to disclose or suggest removing the biological particles from the filter by a flush flow in a second direction opposite said first direction

As discussed in detail above, <u>Cabuz</u> fails to disclose retaining biological particles containing an analyte of interest on a filter. Accordingly, <u>Cabuz</u> also fails to disclose <u>removing</u> such particles from a <u>filter</u> by a flush flow in a second direction opposite the first direction.

 <u>Cabuz</u> fails to disclose or suggest analyzing biological particles contained in the flush flow by means of a nucleic acid amplification

<u>Cabuz</u> makes no mention of nucleic acid amplification, as apparently conceded by the Patent Office (Official Action, page 5, lines 10-12). Instead, the Patent Office cites <u>Igbal et al</u>. to show nucleic acid amplification.

However, this secondary reference also fails to disclose or suggest forcing a sample in a first direction through a filter which retains biological particles containing an analyte of

ю.6

(703) 838-0447

U.S. Patent Appln. S.N. 10/579,137 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

PATENT

interest, and then removing the biological particles from the filter by a flush flow in a second direction opposite the first direction, before analyzing the biological particles contained in said flush flow by means of a nucleic acid amplification assay.

In short, the combination of Cabuz and Igbal et al. fails to disclose or suggest the claimed assay. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of claims 18-30 over Cabuz in view of Igbal et al. are respectfully requested.

It is believed the application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of claims 18-30, and issuance of a Notice of Allowance directed to those claims, are respectfully requested. The Examiner is urged to telephone the undersigned should she believe any further action is required for allowance of this application.

The extension fee is being paid electronically today. It is not believed any additional fee is required for entry and consideration of this Request for Reconsideration. Nevertheless,

U.S. Patent Appln. S.N. 10/579,137 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION PATENT

the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account

No. 50-1258 in the amount of any such required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

James C. Lydon Reg. No. 30,082

Atty, Case No.: TUR-181 100 Daingerfield Road Suite 100 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Telephone: (703) 838-0445 Facsimile: (703) 838-0447

## Enclosure:

Petition for Extension of Time